

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Beet 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/070,398 03/01/2002 Anders Gidner 5045-03200 6826 EXAMINER 7590 01/31/2005 Eric B Meyertons HRUSKOCI, PETER A Conley Rose & Taylon **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P O Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398 1724

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ma
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
		10/070,398	GIDNER ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	ss
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atatute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 May 2004.		
2a)⊠	· · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claim's			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 33,35-44,46-49 and 71-93 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 33,35-39,43,44,46-48 and 71-77 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 49,78,82,86 and 90 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 40-42, 79-81, 83-85, 87-89, and 91-93 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the course oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	• •
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. I ents have been received in Apportiority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment		🗖	(DTO 440)	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 4 line 15 "an apparatus...Claim 1", in line 21 "a method as claimed in Claim 22", fail to describe the apparatus and method in clear and exact terms. Applicants could overcome this objection by including the text of these original claims to provide clear antecedent for the instant claims. On page 4 line 25 "be 5 able" appears to be erroneous.

Appropriate correction is required.

In the amendment dated 5/10/04 1-33 (cancelled) is erroneous, and should be changed to Claims 1-32 (canceled).

Claim 40-42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. It is noted that claim 40 recites the second temperature is selected to be higher than the corrosive temperature range, and claim 33 is drawn to a second temperature selected to be lower than the corrosive temperature range. Claims 41 and 42 depend from the above claims.

Claims 49, 78, 82, 86, and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 49, 82, and 90 "selected from the group" is considered improper Markush language, and should be changed to – selected from the group consisting of -. In claims 78 and 86 "conventional" is vague and indefinite because it is unclear how this term further limits the claims.

Claims 33, 35-39, 43, 44, 46-48, 71-77 are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,398

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 79-81, 83-85, 87-89, and 91-93 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 Page 4

1/27/05